BoxInterferences@uspto.gov Telephone: 571-272-4683 Paper 1 Entered: 14 June 2012

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Patent Interference 105,901 (LG) Technology Center 1600

LIEPING CHEN,

Application 12/347,492, Junior Party,

v.

GORDON FREEMAN, VASSILIKI BOUSSIOTIS, TATYANA CHERNOVA, and NELLY MALENKOVICH

Patent 7,038,013, Senior Party.

DECLARATION

Before: LORA M. GREEN, Administrative Patent Judge.

1	Part A
2	Declaration of Interference
3	Consistent with discussion during a conference call between counse
4	for Party Chen and Party Freeman on 06 June 2012 in related interferences
. 5	105,884; 105,885; and 105,887; an interference is declared between the
6	above-identified parties. 35 U.S.C. § 135(a); 37 C.F.R. § 41.203(b).
7	Details for the application, patent, count and claims designated as
8	corresponding or as not corresponding to the count appear in Parts E and F
9	of this DECLARATION.
10	A claim of an involved application or involved patent which is not
11	designated as corresponding to any count is not "involved" in the
12	interference within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 135(b).
13	For a United States patent or published application listed in this
14	Declaration, see
15	http://patft.uspto.gov/
16	See also
17	http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair
18	for prosecution histories available to the public.
19	Part B
20	Judge Managing the Interference
21	Administrative Patent Judge Lora M. Green has been designated to
22	manage the interference. 37 C.F.R. § 41.104(a).

1	Part C
2	Standing Order
3	A Trial Division STANDING ORDER (8 March 2011) (Paper 2)
4	accompanies this DECLARATION.
5	The STANDING ORDER applies to this interference, including the
6 .	provisions related to Electronic Filing. See ¶ 105, pages 15-17
7	Part D
8	Initial Conference Call and Motions Lists
9	Conference Call
10	In the conference call on 06 June 2012, counsel for Chen and Freemar
11	indicated that the motions would be essentially the same as those filed in the
12	related interferences. Thus, accompanying this Declaration is an order
13	authorizing motions. If the parties feel that additional discussions as to the
14	motions lists are necessary, they can contact the Board to set up a conference
15	call.
16	Time periods for taking action during the motions phase are set out in
17	an order accompanying this Declaration.
18	

,		
1		Part E
2		Identification of the Parties
3		signment of Exhibit Numbers
4		tiating Settlement Discussions
. 5		Junior Party
6		•
7	Inventors:	LIEPING CHEN
8	A 1* .*	A 11' 11' 12'247 400
9	Application:	Application 12/347,492 filed 31 December 2008
10 11		med 31 December 2008
12	Pat. Publication	US 2009/0274666
13	1 at. 1 utilication	05 2007/02/14000
14	Title:	B7-H1, A Novel Immunoregulatory Molecule
15		
16	Real party in interest:	Mayo Foundation for Medical Education and
17	•	Research
18		
19		Senior Party
20		
21	Inventors:	GORDON FREEMAN,
22		VASSILIKI BOUSSIOTIS,
23		TATYANA CHERNOVA, and
24 25	1	NELLY MALENKOVICH
25 26	Patent:	U.S. Patent 7,038,013
20 27	i atom.	issued 02 May 2006
28		based on application 10/002,775
29		filed 05 December 2001
30		· ·
31	Pat. Publication	US 2002/0102651
32		
33	Title:	B7-4 Polypeptides and uses thereof
34		
35	Real party in interest:	Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Inc.

•

1	<u>A</u>	ssignment of Exhibit Numbers
2	Senior party:	Exhibit Numbers 1001 through 1999.
3	Junior party:	Exhibit Numbers 2001-2999.
4	Board:	Exhibit Numbers 3001-3999.
5 6	In	nitiating Settlement Discussions
7	STAND	ING ORDER ¶ 126 (Paper 2, page 37)
8	The senior party	is responsible for initiating settlement discussions
9	required by the STAND	ING ORDER.
10		Part F
11	Co	ounts and Claims of the Parties
12		Count 1
13	An isolated polypeptide	comprising the amino acids having the sequence set
14	forth in Chen SEQ ID N	IO:1 or Freeman SEQ ID NO: 4.
15		
16	The claims of the	parties are:
17	Chen:	1, 2, 4, 6, 11-13, 36-38, 40-44, 49-59, 62-71, 77,
18	·	78, and 80-124.
19	Freeman:	1-14
20	The claims that co	orrespond to Count 1 are:
21	Chen:	6, 38, and 107-114
22	Freeman:	1-14.
23	The claims that de	o not correspond to Count 1 are:
24	Chen:	1, 2, 4, 11-13, 36, 37, 40-44, 49-59, 62-71, 77, 78,
25		80-106, and 115-124
26	Freeman:	None

1	With respect to C	ount 1, the parties are accorded an earlier
2	constructive reduction to	practice (i.e., benefit for the purpose of priority) of
3	the following applicatio	ns:
4	· Chen:	Application 12/347,492, filed 31 December 2008;
5		Application 09/649,108, filed 28 August 2000;
6		Application 09/451,291, filed 30 November, 1999.
7	Freeman:	Application 10/002,775, filed 02 November 2001;
8		Application 09/644,934, filed 23 August 2000;
9		Provisional application 60/150,390,
10	•	filed 23 August 1999.
11		

1	Part G
2	Heading to be Used on Papers
3 4 5	The following heading shall be used on all papers filed in this interference [STANDING ORDER ¶ 106.1.1 (Paper 2, page 17)].
6 7 8 9	Filed by: [name of party] [Name of attorney] [Email address of attorney] [Telephone number of attorney] Paper leave blank Date filed: [enter date emailed to Board]
10	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
	Patent Interference 105,901 (LG) Technology Center 1600
	LIEPING CHEN,
	Application 12/347,492, Junior Party,
	v.
	GORDON FREEMAN , VASSILIKI BOUSSIOTIS, TATYANA CHERNOVA, and NELLY MALENKOVICH
	Patent 7,038,013, Senior Party.

11 Title of Paper, e.g., CHEN SUBSTANTIVE MOTION 1

1	Part H
2	Order Form for Requesting File Copies
3	When requesting file copies, a party shall use STANDING ORDER
4	Form 4 (page 68).
5	Use of form 4 will expedite processing of any request.
6	A party should attach to any request for file copies a photocopy of
7	Part E of this DECLARATION with a hand-drawn circle around the patent
8	and application files for which a copy of a file wrapper is requested.
9	The parties are advised that a single order for file copies may be filled
10	by the Office of Public Records in more than one package. STANDING
11	ORDER ¶ 109.2 (Paper 2, pages 22-24).
12 13	Part I
14	Required Paragraph of Affidavits and Declarations
15	The Board has experienced cases in which a witness has belatedly
16	advanced reasons why the witness would be unable to appear for cross
17	examination at a reasonable time and place in the United States.
18	Consequently, to prevent surprise and hardship to the party relying on
19	the testimony of a witness, the following paragraph must be included on the
20	signature page of all affidavits (including declarations) filed in this case.
21	STANDING ORDER ¶ 157.2 (Paper 2, page 49).
22	
23	In signing this [affidavit [declaration]], I understand that the
24	[affidavit [declaration]] will be filed as evidence in a contested
25	case before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences of
26	the United States Patent and Trademark Office. I acknowledge
27	that I may be subject to cross examination in the case and that

1	cross examination will take place within the United States. If
2	cross examination is required of me, I will appear for cross
3	examination within the United States during the time allotted
4	for cross examination.

cc (via electronic mail):

Attorney for Chen:

Gerald M. Murphy, Jr., Esq. Craig A. McRobbie, Esq. MaryAnne Armstrong, Ph.D., Esq. Leonard R. Svensson, Esq. Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

Email: gmm@bskb.com Email: cam@bskb.com Email: maa@bskb.com Email: lrs@bskb.com

Email: mailroom@bskb.com

Attorney for Freeman:

DeAnn F. Smith, Esq. Philip S. Choi, Esq. Foley Hoag, LLP

Email: patent@foleyhoag.com